



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
On February 25, 2002  
TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

Our File: 18684-000720US

By: Linda Burgess

#4  
SMC  
3/28/02

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of:

MCGREGOR et al.

Application No.: 09/745,719

Filed: December 20, 2000

For: MOBILE PHONE WITH  
INTERNAL ACCOUNTING

Examiner: T. Gesesse

Art Unit 2683

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION  
REQUIREMENT AND INTERVIEW  
SUMMARY**

COPY OF PAPERS  
ORIGINALLY FILED

**RECEIVED**

**MAR 20 2002**

**Technology Center 2600**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed November 6, 2001, for which a petition is submitted to extend time for 3 months from December 6, 2001 to March 6, 2002, the Examiner has required restriction to one of the following groups under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-10 and 32-38, drawn to remote activation and programming of a mobile phone unit by a mobile phone system, classified in class 455, subclass 419-420.
- II. Claims 11-31 and 39-44, drawn to a complex billing algorithm and rate data for internally calculating call charges, classified in class 455, subclass 405-409

Applicants would first like to thank Examiner Gesesse for discussing the restriction requirement over the telephone with their undersigned attorney on January 15, 2002. During that discussion, Applicants' attorney pointed out that a number of the pending claims recite elements from *both* of the restricted groups. For example, claim 6 of proposed Group I has elements directed to both "activation and programming" as well as "a complex billing